UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,351	04/09/2004	Krishnamurthy Viswanathan	7784-000712	3064
	7590 04/26/2007 CKEY & PIERCE, PLC		· EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			RODRIGUEZ, WILLIAM H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3746	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MONTHS		04/26/2007	DADED ·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/822,351	VISWANATHAN, KRISHNAMURTHY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William H. Rodríguez	3746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ma	arch 2007.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,5,8-22 and 24-26 is	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,5,8-22 and 24-26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 23</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 23</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are objected to.	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 March 2007 is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/21/04</u> . 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of the species of figure 22 (claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7 and

23) in the reply filed on 03/27/2007 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pinker (US 6,415,598).

Pinker (particularly figure 1) teaches an exhaust nozzle for a turbofan engine (by-pass gas turbine engine, see abstract) providing separate non-mixed fan and core flow stream, the engine comprising: a fan nozzle 1, a primary nozzle 2 disposed at least partially within said fan nozzle, said primary nozzle having a downstream edge portion through which a core flow stream from said engine exits, said downstream edge forming a beveled edge comprising an angle α between about 5-45 degrees relative to a reference plane extending orthogonal to a longitudinal axis of the primary nozzle, said beveled edge portion comprising an outermost edge portion.

Since Pinker has the same structure as claimed, it is inherent that Pinker's device would be able to perform the recited method steps.

Notice that the angle α (cl. 2 ll. 12-13) is within the claimed range of 5-45 degrees, for instance an α angle of 30 degrees is between 5-45 degrees as claimed.

4. Claims 1, 2 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mathews et al. (US 6,314,721).

Mathews (particularly figures 1 and 2) teaches an exhaust nozzle for a turbofan engine providing separate non-mixed fan and core flow stream, the engine comprising: a fan nozzle 30, a primary nozzle 20 disposed at least partially within said fan nozzle, said primary nozzle having a downstream edge portion through which a core flow stream from said engine exits, said downstream edge forming a beveled edge (52) comprising an angle between about 5-45 degrees relative to a reference plane extending orthogonal to a longitudinal axis of the primary nozzle, said beveled edge portion comprising an outermost edge portion.

Since Mathews has the same structure as claimed, it is inherent that Mathews' device would be able to perform the recited method steps.

Notice that the angle show by Mathews is within the claimed range of 5-45 degrees, for instance in Mathews an angle of 30 degrees is between 5-45 degrees as claimed.

5. Claims 1, 2 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bhat et al. et al. (US 4,288,984).

Bhat (particularly figure 19) teaches an exhaust nozzle for a turbofan engine providing separate non-mixed fan and core flow stream, the engine comprising: a fan nozzle 2, a primary nozzle 7 disposed at least partially within said fan nozzle, said primary nozzle having a downstream edge portion through which a core flow stream from said engine exits, said downstream edge forming a beveled edge comprising an angle between about 5-45 degrees (as shown in the drawings) relative to a reference plane extending orthogonal to a longitudinal axis of the primary nozzle, said beveled edge portion comprising an outermost edge portion.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,351 Page 4

Art Unit: 3746

Since Bhat has the same structure as claimed, it is inherent that Bhat's device would be

able to perform the recited method steps.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to William H. Rodríguez whose telephone number is 571-272-4831. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr.

Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 571-272-4828. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William H. Rodrigue Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3746